IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES E. WASHINGTON,) 1:05-CV -0480 OWW WMW HC
Petitioner, v.) ORDER REQUIRING
) RESPONDENT TO SUBMIT
) ANSWER ADDRESSING
) MERITS OF PETITION
PAUL M. SCHULTZ,	ORDER REQUIRING
) RESPONDENT TO SUBMIT
Respondent.) NOTICE OF APPEARANCE
	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK
	OF COURT TO SERVE
	DOCUMENTS

Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

Writ of habeas corpus relief extends to a person in custody under the authority of the United States. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241. While a federal prisoner who wishes to challenge the validity or constitutionality of his conviction must bring a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, a petitioner challenging the manner, location, or conditions of that sentence's execution must bring a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. See, e.g., Capaldi v. Pontesso, 135 F.3d 1122, 1123 (6th Cir. 1998); United States v.

2
3

Tubwell, 37 F.3d 175, 177 (5th Cir. 1994); Kingsley v. Bureau of Prisons, 937 F.2d 26, 30 n.5 (2nd Cir. 1991); United States v. Jalili, 925 F.2d 889, 893-94 (6th Cir. 1991); Barden v. Keohane, 921 F.2d476, 478-79 (3rd Cir. 1991); United States v. Hutchings, 835 F.2d 185, 186-87 (8th Cir. 1987); Brown v. United States, 610 F.2d 672, 677 (9th Cir. 1990). A petitioner filing a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 must file the petition in the judicial district of the petitioner's custodian. Brown, 610 F.2d at 677.

A federal prisoner authorized to seek relief under § 2255 may seek relief under § 2241 *if* he can show that the remedy available under § 2255 is "inadequate or ineffective to test the validity of his detention." Hernandez v. Campbell, 204 F.3d 861, 864-5 (9th Cir.2000); United States v. Pirro, 104 F.3d 297, 299 (9th Cir.1997) (quoting § 2255). The Ninth Circuit has recognized that it is a very narrow exception. Id; Ivy v. Pontesso, 328 F.3d 1057 (9th Cir. 2003) (a petitioner must show actual innocence *and* that he never had the opportunity to raise it by motion to demonstrate that § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective)

The petitioner in this case is challenging his conviction. At the time he filed the petition, the petitioner was confined at Atwater, which is located within the jurisdiction of this Court. See U.S. v. Giddings, 740 F.2d 770, 772 (9th Cir.1984).

The Magistrate Judge entered findings and recommendations that this petition be denied, based on Petitioner's failure to address the standard for challenging a conviction pursuant to Section 2241, instead of Section 2255. In his objections to the findings and recommendations, Petitioner addressed the standard for the first time. The court has determined that Respondent must be given an opportunity to respond.

Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, the

¹The Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases may be applied to petitions for writ of habeas corpus other than those brought under § 2254 at the Court's discretion. See, Rule 1 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Civil Rule 81(a)(2) provides that the rules are "applicable to proceedings for . . . habeas corpus . . . to the extent that the practice in such proceedings is not set forth in statutes of the United States and has heretofore conformed to the practice of civil actions." Fed. R. Civ. P 81(a)(2).

Court HEREBY ORDERS:

1. Petitioner's objections, filed May 5, 2006, SHALL BE CONSTRUED as a Supplement to the Petition.

2. Respondent SHALL FILE an ANSWER addressing the merits of the Petition and the Supplement to the Petition within SIXTY (60) days of the date of service of this order. Respondent shall include with the response any and all transcripts or other documents relevant to the resolution of the issues presented in the petition, including copies of appeals taken by a prisoner within the prison and before the Bureau of Prisons.² Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The Court recognizes that Counsel on behalf of the Government and/or the Institution³ may wish to respond on separate issues raised in the Petition. However, the Court will accept only one (1) "Answer." Such Answer SHALL CONTAIN all argument with respect to all of the issues raised in the Petition, whether formulated by Counsel for the Government or the Institution.

3 Respondent SHALL FILE a Notice of Appearance within **TWENTY (20)** days of the date of service of this Order. The Notice SHALL indicate the name of the individual(s) who will be representing the Government and/or the Institution. The Notice is necessary to ensure that the appropriate counsel for Respondent is being served by the Court. The submission of the Notice of Appearance will terminate Court service on those listed in paragraph 4.

²In the event Respondent is unable to obtain a photocopy of prisoner appeals and intends to file a computerized printout of the disposition, Respondent must also provide the Court with translation of the internal codes used in the printout. To the extent the claims concern prison policy and procedure not accessible to the Court by electronic means (Westlaw/Lexis), Respondent must provide the Court with a photocopy of all prison policies and/or procedures at issue in the case. This includes any *internal* prison policies of which a prisoner complains and is subject to.

³Counsel for the "Institution" means private Counsel representing contracted facilities such as Taft Correctional Institution, (Wackenhut Corrections Corporation), or California City Correctional Center (Corrections Corporation of America).

Case 1:05-cv-00480-LJO-WMW Document 26 Filed 02/08/07 Page 4 of 4

1	
2	(
3	
4]
5	t
6	(
7	
8	ι
9	
10	
11	
12	
13]
14]
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	I

27

28

4. Petitioner's TRAVERSE, if any, is due on or before **THIRTY (30)** days from the date Respondent's Answer is filed.

5. The Clerk of the Court SHALL serve a copy of this Order along with a copy of Petitioner's Petition, all exhibits, and the supplement filed on May 5, 2006, on the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of California, an agent for the appropriate Correctional Institution if applicable, and the United States Bureau of Prisons.

All motions shall be submitted on the record and briefs filed without oral argument unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Local Rule 78-230(h). All provisions of Local Rule 11-110 are applicable to this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:February 7, 2007/s/ Oliver W. Wangeremm0d6UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE